Genetic variability of wild hops



1: Caucasus mountains nearby the biggest mo Figure 1: Cauca Elbrus (5642 m)



Figure 2: Map of individual localities of wild hops casus region



Figure 3: Vladikavkaz localit



Figure 4: Wild hops on Terek locality



ure 5: Wild hops on Ko locality



e 6: Wild hop on Crai locality

(Humulus lupulus L.) in Caucasus region.

losef Patzak, Vladimír Nesvadba, Alena Henychová

Hop Research Institute Co.Lt<mark>d., Kadaňská</mark> 2525, 438 46 Žatec, Czech Republic Tel: +420415732109 Fox: +420415732150 E-mail: j.patzak@telecom.cz

Introduction

Hop (Humulus lupulus L.) is a dioecious perennial climbing plant and only female plants are cultivated for commercial use, mainly in brewing industry and to a smaller extent for pharmaceutical purposes. Female inflorescences, referred to as cones, contain hop bitter resins, essential oils, polyphenols and tannins. The origin of the genus is considered to be China (Neve 1991). Wild hops are distributed throughout the Northern Hemisphere, and have been classified into a number of taxonomic varieties based on their morphology. Historically, hop improvement has been based on European landraces because they provide the flavour qualities preferred by brewers. Wild germplasm provides new genetic resources for breeding to overcome the limited genetic variation present in modern hop breeding programmes. One of the interesting wild hop regions is Caucasus (Figure 1). It is supposed that this region is a half way of cultivated hop from Asia to Europe. In our experiments, we studied the genetic variability of wild hops from this region

Materials and methods

In 2005 and 2006, we realized successful expeditions for wild hops in Caucasus region. Wild hops were collected from nine localities: 1 – Vladikavkaz, 2 – river Terek, 3 – Zmenskaja, 4 – Sunža, 5 – Gizel, 6 – Komsomolskoje, 7 – Ursdon, 8 – Craj and 9 – Kabardino-Balkar Republic (Figure 2, 3, 4, 5, 6). Samples were transferred as rootstock (Table 1) or dry cones (Tables 2). Dry cones were used for chemical analyses of hop resins. Hop resins were determined according to EBC 7.7. method by HPLC on SHIMADZU LC 10A (Shimadzu, Japan). Wild hop rootstocks were multipropagated in glasshouse and transferred to field condition. DNAs were isolated from young leaves according to Patzak (2001). For molecular analyses, we used nine SSR (Hadonou et al., 2004; Jakše et al., 2002) and three STS (Patzak et al., 2007) loci. PCR reactions were performed in TGradient thermocycler (Biometra, FRG). The genetic diversity analysis was evaluated by cluster analysis, which was revealed by NTSYS-pc v. 2.11V for WINDOWS (Exeter Software, USA).





Results

Chemical taxonomy is commercially used for evaluation of cultivated hops and control of variety purity and identification. In spite of fact that natural conditions significantly influence the content of hop resins, the contents of cohumulone and colupulone are stable and belong to reliable genetic determined features. We analysed ten different samples of wild hops from Caucasus region and results are shown in Table 2 and Figure 7. We found that some samples (2,5,6,7,9) were very similar to old European varieties. In the other hand, samples with higher content of cohumulone and colupulone (3,4) evidence for origin from another germplasm like American. The molecular DNA technology is a useful method for the study of genetic diversity, individual genotyping, population structure and phylogeny. Therefore, we used it for these purposes. In our experiment, we tested nine Caucasus wild genotypes in comparison to Czech, European and American wild hops (totally 150 genotypes). We found that Caucasus wild hops were clustered to European hop germplasm (Figure 8), which is evidently separated from wild American germplasm and H. japonicus. Caucasus wild hops were divided to two groups by submerged group of cultivated hops with mixed Euro-American germplasm. From this analysis is evident that Caucasus wild hops include wide genetic diversity with Euro-Asian germplasm and can be promising sources for hop breeding programmes

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of CR in project ME832.

References

Hadonou, A.M., Walden, R., Darby, P. 2004. Isolation and characterization of polymorphic microsatellites for assessment of genetic variation of hops (Humulus lupulus L.). Mol. Ecol. Notes 4: 280-282.

Jakše, J., Bandelj, D., Javornik, B. 2002. Eleven new microsatellites for hop (Humulus lupulus L.). Mol. Ecol. Notes 2: 544-546. Neve, R.A. 1991. Hops. Chapman and Hall, London.

Patzak, J. 2001. Comparison of RAPD, STS, ISSR and AFLP molecular methods used for assessment of genetic diversity in hop (Humulus lupulus L.). Euphytica 121: 9-18. Patzak, J., Vrba, L., Matoušek, J. 2007. New STS molecular markers for assessment of genetic diversity and DNA fingerprinting in hop (Humulus lupulus L.). Genome 50: 15-25 Figure 8: Dendrogram of individual wild hops revealed by UPGMA cluster analysis based on Jaccard's similarity coefficient determined using 22 STS and 46 SSR markers, CZ - Czech, CA - Canada





Hop Research Institute Co., Ltd., Saaz, Czech Republic www.